

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Little Darlings of Las Vegas, LLC,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
City of Las Vegas,  
Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01507-CDS-EJY  
**Order Granting Defendant's Motion for  
Leave to File Surreply**

[ECF No. 13]

On September 24, 2024, defendant City of Las Vegas ("the City") filed a motion for leave to file a surreply in response to plaintiff Little Darlings of Las Vegas's reply and request to advance and consolidate trial on the merits pursuant to Rule 65(a)(2). Mot., ECF No. 13. Little Darlings opposes the motion for leave. Opp'n, ECF No. 15. Local Rule 7-2 states that "[s]urreplies are not permitted without leave of court; motions for leave to file a surreply are discouraged." LR 7-2(b). However, given that the City did not have the opportunity to address the issue of consolidating the trial on the merits, I grant leave to file a surreply. *See Smith v. United States*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 42142, at \*17 (D. Nev. Mar. 28, 2014).

**Conclusion**

It is therefore ordered that defendant's motion for leave [ECF No. 13] is GRANTED.

Dated: October 23, 2024

  
Cristina D. Silva  
United States District Judge